Acknowledged by Deputy Bundais

Dear Deputy Baudains.

Thank you for your letter of 4th April, I am sorry that you have been unwell, and hope that you are by now fully recovered.

You are correct in your assumption that my comments are an indication of my concerns re planning, a number of developments that have been passed, it would seem, with no consideration for the matter of the environment, of which some I referred to in my letter to you.

The matter originally arose out of the development on the border of the Railway Walk, which, frankly is an utter disgrace to the Island, and is a typical example of the apparent trend to permit the cramping of large buildings within comparatively small areas, another example of this is arising on the Leonards Garage site, and, assuming that the next door plots, fields 190. 191. 192 will also be passed without any questions asked this development also.

I think the big trouble seems to be that from my discussions with man's people, the tendency is growing for people to think "what is the point of taking any action when our comments will be ignored?"

Thank you for your trouble and hoping that the future is not as hopeless as it seems at present.

Yours sincerely

B.R.Ash

Deputy Gerard Baudins Environment Scrutiny Panel

Dear Sir.

I was most interested in the comments made in $J_{\bullet}E_{\bullet}P_{\bullet}$ of Saturday 11th March regarding the Planning process.

Having been involved, along with a number of residents on this estated, with a long and aggravating battle with Planning and Environment regarding an application for alterations to one of the bungalows, which eventually, with the halpful support of then Deputy Mike Vibert, went our way, I always study the weekly applications in the J.E.P. relating to this parish, and feel that often details of location and proposed developments are sketchy and, without reference to the actual plans, difficult to appreciate.

It was for this reason that the Development referred to in my letter to the J.E.P. a copy of which I enclose, was not noticed until building had commenced, and the disgusting development obviously passed by P & E. If P. and E. can pass a development such as this, with no consideration whatever towards Environment, anyting can happen:

Similarly, the plans passed for the Leonard's Garage site, and fields 190. 191. 192 Rue de la Sergente, can easily be passed for similar reasons. I understand, on good authority, that the builders proposing development on the latter site, have been told that this would be passed if a bus stop was included, which has been done despite the fact that there is already a stop just round the corner!

The pantomime, or circus, that has gone on since this development was published, displaying the plans etc., seeking public comment on this development, is another smokescreen, to cover Planning and Envioronment usual ignoring of anything put forward by interested parties, and anything your panel can do to correct this will, I am sure, be appreciated, not only by appr interested parties, but by the public at large.

Yours sincerely

B.R.Ash

1.5. SORRY FOR ALL TYPING GROOS.